# **Town Board Minutes**

# June 21, 2004

# Meeting No. 22

A joint meeting of the Town Board and the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of June 2004, at 6:30 PM and there were

**PRESENT**: DANIEL AMATURA, COUNCIL MEMBER

MARK MONTOUR, COUNCIL MEMBER RONALD RUFFINO, COUNCIL MEMBER DONNA STEMPNIAK, COUNCIL MEMBER

ROBERT GIZA, SUPERVISOR

JOHN GOBER, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

LAWRENCE KORZENIEWSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

MICHAEL MYSZKA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
MELVIN SZYMANSKI, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER
STANLEY KEYSA, PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

**ABSENT:** REBECCA ANDERSON, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

STEVEN SOCHA, PLANNING BOARD MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT: JOHANNA COLEMAN, TOWN CLERK

RICHARD SHERWOOD, TOWN ATTORNEY

JEFFREY SIMME, BUILDING INSPECTOR

ROBERT LABENSKI, TOWN ENGINEER

#### **PURPOSE OF MEETING:**

This joint meeting of the Town Board and Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster was held for the purpose of acting as a Municipal Review Committee for three (3) actions.

# IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE CATCO SITE PLAN

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the short Environmental Assessment Form on the Catco site plan matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Short Environmental Assessment Form, entitled "Part II Environmental Assessment", which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER, TO WIT:

**RESOLVED**, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted.

# NOTICE OF DETERMINATION: CATCO SITE PLAN NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney 716\_684\_3342

# NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately .46 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is situate at 1266 Town Line Road, County of Erie, Lancaster, New York.

#### REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found, in their item for item completion of the Short Environmental Assessment Form on this proposed action as follows:

- A. The action does not exceed any type 1 threshold in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.4.
- B. The action will not receive coordinated review as provided for unlisted actions in 6 NYCRR, Part 617.6.
- C. The proposed action will not result in any adverse effects associated with the following: (except as noted)
- C.1 Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic patterns, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems.

# \_\_\_\_Small to moderate impacts noted.

C.2 Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character.

# No significant adverse effects noted

C.3 Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species.

# No significant adverse effects noted

C.4 A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources.

# No significant adverse effects noted

C.5 Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action.

#### No significant adverse effects noted

C.6 Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5.

# No significant adverse effects noted

C.7 Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy).

#### No significant adverse effects noted

D. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).

E. There is not, nor is there likely to be, controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

Robert H. Giza, Supervisor
Town of Lancaster

**SEAL** 

June 21, 2004

and,

#### **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

# **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non\_Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted.

June 21, 2004

# IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE

#### WHISPERING PINES SUBDIVISION

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Whispering Pines Subdivision matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA, TO WIT:

**RESOLVED**, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

# NOTICE OF DETERMINATION WHISPERING PINES SUBDIVISION NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster 21 Central Avenue Lancaster, New York 14086 Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney 716\_684\_3342

# NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately  $18.3 \pm acres$ .

The location of the premises being reviewed is Aurora Street, County of Erie, Lancaster, New York.

#### REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

1. The proposed action will result in a small to moderate physical change to the project site.

It is noted that:

- Construction will be on land where the depth to the water table is less than three feet.
- Construction will continue for more than one year.
- Construction of roadway and road culvert to be in the area of the 100 year flood plain.
- 2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site
- 3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- 4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
- 5. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.
  - It is noted that a State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required during construction.
- 6. The proposed action will alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff. It is noted that:
  - There will be a small to moderate impact on flood water flow.
  - The roadway and road culvert will be developed in a designated floodway.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on threatened or endangered species.
  - It is noted that pesticide or herbicide will be used for lawn care more than twice a year.
- 9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.

- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.
- 12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- 15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
  - 16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
  - 17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
  - 18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
  - 19. The proposed action will affect the character of the existing community. It is noted that:
    - There will be a small to moderate change in the density of land use.
    - Demand will be created for additional services from the schools, police and fire departments.
    - There will be a small increase in employment during construction.
  - 20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

It is further noted that the Municipal Review Committee of the Town of Lancaster has provided for affordable housing to all income groups as a part of its Comprehensive Master Plan and within its zoning ordinances.

/s	s/s
Robert H. Giza, Supervisor	
Town of Lancaster	

**SEAL** 

June 21, 2004

and,

#### **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

# **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted

June 21, 2004

# IN THE MATTER OF THE SEQR REVIEW OF THE

#### **BUFFALO-LANCASTER AIRPORT SITE PLAN**

The Municipal Review Committee proceeded with the Long Environmental Assessment Form on the Buffalo-Lancaster Airport site plan matter with an item for item review and discussion of the project impact and magnitude as outlined on the Long Environmental Assessment Form entitled "Part 2 Project Impacts and Their Magnitude" which was provided to each member.

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED BY PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI WHO MOVED ITS ADOPTION, SECONDED BY PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA, TO WIT:

**RESOLVED**, that the following Negative Declaration be adopted:

# NOTICE OF DETERMINATION BUFFALO-LANCASTER AIRPORT SITE PLAN NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the Town of Lancaster, acting as the designated lead agency under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, has reviewed the following described proposed action, which is an unlisted action, through its designated Municipal Review Committee, and that committee having found no significant environmental impact relative to the criteria found in 6NYCRR, Part 617.7, the lead agency now issues a Negative Declaration for the purposes of Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law in accordance with 617.12.

# NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD AGENCY

Town of Lancaster
21 Central Avenue
Lancaster, New York 14086
Richard J. Sherwood, Town Attorney

716\_684\_3342

#### NATURE, EXTENT AND LOCATION OF ACTION:

The proposed development is of a parcel involving approximately 0.4 acres.

The location of the premises being reviewed is 4343 Walden Avenue, County of Erie, Lancaster, New York.

# REASONS SUPPORTING DETERMINATION

The lead agency, the Town of Lancaster, through the review of the Municipal Review Committee, which is made up of at least three (3) members of the Town Board of the Town of Lancaster together with at least three (3) members of the Planning Board of the Town of Lancaster, has found the proposed action impacts to be as follows:

- 1. The proposed action will not result in a physical change to the project site.
- 2. The proposed action will not effect any unique or unusual land forms found on the site.
- 3. The proposed action will not affect any water body designated as protected.
- 4. The proposed action will not affect any non-protected existing or new body of water.
- 5. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on surface or ground water quality or quantity.

It is noted that:

- A State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Discharge from Construction Activities is required during construction.
- In accordance with Town Board Approval of the site plan, the applicant shall install a protection barrier around the fuel tanks.
- 6. The proposed action will not alter drainage flow patterns or surface water runoff.
- 7. The proposed action will not affect air quality.
- 8. The proposed action will not affect any threatened or endangered species.
- 9. The proposed action will not substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species.
- 10. The proposed action will not affect agricultural land resources.
- 11. The proposed action will not affect aesthetic resources.

- 12. The proposed action will not impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or paleontological importance.
- 13. The proposed action will not affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities.
- 14. The Town of Lancaster has not established a critical environmental area (CEA) pursuant to subdivision 6NYCRR617.14(g), therefore the proposed action will not impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA).
- 15. The proposed action will not affect existing transportation systems.
  - 16. The proposed action will not affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply.
  - 17. There will not be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of this proposed action.
  - 18. The proposed action will not affect public health and safety.
  - 19. The proposed action will have a small to moderate impact on the character of the existing community.

It is noted that:

- There may be a very small increase in demand for police and fire services.
- The proposed action will cause a slight increase in employment.
- 20. There is not, nor is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts.

$\rm S/S$				

**SEAL** 

Robert H. Giza, Supervisor

Town of Lancaster

June 21, 2004

and,

# **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED,** that the Supervisor of the Town of Lancaster be and is hereby authorized to execute a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter, and

#### **BE IT FURTHER**

**RESOLVED**, that the Town Attorney's Office prepare and file a "Negative Declaration" Notice of Determination of Non-Significance in this matter with the petitioner and with all required New York State and Erie County agencies, filing a copy of the letter of transmittal and "Negative Declaration" with the Town Clerk.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Determination was duly put to a vote which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The Notice of Determination was thereupon unanimously adopted

June 21, 2004

# **ADJOURNMENT:**

ON MOTION OF COUNCIL MEMBER SZYMANSKI AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI FOR ADJOURNMENT OF THE MEETING, which resulted as follows:

COUNCIL MEMBER AMATURA	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER MONTOUR	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER RUFFINO	VOTED YES
COUNCIL MEMBER STEMPNIAK	VOTED YES
SUPERVISOR GIZA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER GOBER	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER KORZENIEWSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER MYSZKA	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SOCHA	WAS ABSENT
PLANNING BOARD MEMBER SZYMANSKI	VOTED YES
PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN KEYSA	VOTED YES

The meeting was adjourned at 7:01 P.M.

Signed				
	Iohanna M	Coleman	Town C	'lerk